

Rotation number and lifts of a Fuchsian action of the modular group on the circle

Yoshifumi MATSUDA^{*†}

Abstract

We characterize the semi-conjugacy class of a Fuchsian action of the modular group on the circle in terms of rotation numbers of two standard generators and that of their product. We also show that among lifts of a Fuchsian action of the modular group, only 5-fold lift admits a similar characterization. These results indicate similarity and difference between rotation number and linear character.

Keywords: Rotation number, modular group, group actions on the circle

2010 MSC: Primary 37E45; Secondary 37C85, 37E10

1 Introduction

Rotation number of an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle has similar properties to absolute value of the trace of an element in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. For example, they are invariant under conjugation and furthermore, Jørgensen's criterion of discreteness for subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ [11, Theorem 2], which can be described in terms of absolute value of the trace, has an analogue for the group of real analytic diffeomorphisms of the circle (see [13, Theorem 1.2]). In this article, we give another similarity between rotation number and linear character from a viewpoint given by D. Calegari and A. Walker [5].

1.1 Rotation number

We denote by $\mathrm{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle. We regard the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ as the quotient $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and denote by $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ the projection. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Homeo}}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ be the group of lifts of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms to $\mathbb{R}$, namely, homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ commuting with integral translations.

^{*}Department of Physics and Mathematics, College of Science and Engineering, Aoyama Gakuin University 5-10-1 Fuchinobe, Chuo-ku, Sagami-hara-shi, Kanagawa 252-5258 Japan, ymatsuda@gem.aoyama.ac.jp

[†]Partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25800036.

For $\tilde{f} \in \widetilde{\text{Homeo}_+}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, we define the translation number $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{f}) \in \mathbb{R}$ of $\tilde{f}$ by

$$\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{f}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\tilde{f})^n(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x}}{n},$$

where $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that the limit exists and does not depend on the choice of a point $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. For $f \in \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$, we define the rotation number $\text{rot}(f) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ of f by

$$\text{rot}(f) = \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{f}) \mod \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\tilde{f} \in \widetilde{\text{Homeo}_+}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a lift of f to $\mathbb{R}$.

Among several properties of rotation number, we recall that $\text{rot}(f) = \frac{p}{q}$, where $\frac{p}{q}$ is a reduced fraction if and only if f has a period point of period q . In particular, $\text{rot}(f) = 0$ if and only if f has a fixed point (see for example [9] in detail and other properties of rotation number).

1.2 Lifts of a group action on the circle

For a group Γ , we denote by $R(\Gamma)$ the space of homomorphisms from Γ to $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$. We equip $R(\Gamma)$ with the uniform convergence topology on generators if necessary.

We define a lift of a group action on the circle.

Let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer and denote by $p_k: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ the k -fold covering map. For a group Γ , a homomorphism $\phi \in R(\Gamma)$ is a k -fold lift of a homomorphism $\psi \in R(\Gamma)$ if $p_k \circ \phi(\gamma) = \psi(\gamma) \circ p_k$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We remark that if $\phi \in R(\Gamma)$ is a k -fold lift of a homomorphism $\psi \in R(\Gamma)$, then we have $k \text{rot}(\phi(\gamma)) = \text{rot}(\psi(\gamma))$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

1.3 Semi-conjugacy class

Semi-conjugacy between two actions of a group on the circle has been defined in several ways (see [8], [9], [1]). In this paper, we follow the way presented in [3].

For $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in R(\Gamma)$, we say that ϕ_1 is *semi-conjugate* to ϕ_2 if there exists a continuous degree-one monotone map such that $h \circ \phi_1(\gamma) = \phi_2(\gamma) \circ h$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Here, a map $h: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is called a degree-one monotone map if it admits a lift $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ commuting with integral translations, and nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$.

Note that semi-conjugacy is not symmetric and is not an equivalence relation. We consider the equivalence relation generated by semi-conjugacy, which is called monotone equivalence in [3]. We call the monotone equivalence class of $\phi \in R(\Gamma)$ the *semi-conjugacy class* of ϕ . Note that if two minimal homomorphisms belong to the same semi-conjugacy class, then they are topologically conjugate. We define the semi-conjugacy class of an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle in a similar way.

A classical result due to H. Poincaré says that two homeomorphisms are in the same semi-conjugacy class if and only if their rotation numbers coincide, which is similar to the fact that two matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{\pm E\}$ are conjugate if and only if their traces coincide.

As for group actions, however, $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathrm{R}(\Gamma)$ do not belong to the same semi-conjugacy class if we only suppose that $\mathrm{rot}(\phi_1(\gamma)) = \mathrm{rot}(\phi_2(\gamma))$ for every γ . It can be seen by considering Fuchsian actions corresponding to hyperbolic structures on 2-orbifolds (see for example [6] about 2-orbifolds and hyperbolic structures on them).

1.4 Fuchsian actions

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a compact, connected, oriented 2-orbifold with negative orbifold Euler characteristic $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}) < 0$. For each hyperbolic structure on the interior of $\mathcal{O}$ compatible with the orientation of $\mathcal{O}$, we have a homomorphism from the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O})$ to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by identifying the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ with the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$. By considering the action on the ideal boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}^2 \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$, we obtain a homomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathrm{R}(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}))$. We call such a homomorphism a *Fuchsian action* associated to $\mathcal{O}$. Note that the semi-conjugacy class of a Fuchsian action associated to a fixed 2-orbifold $\mathcal{O}$ is independent of the choice of a hyperbolic structure and that a Fuchsian action corresponding to a hyperbolic structure with finite area is minimal.

In general, we cannot characterize the semi-conjugacy class of a Fuchsian action only by rotation numbers of all elements. In fact, for a Fuchsian action ϕ_S associated to a compact, connected, oriented surface S with negative Euler characteristic, the homeomorphism $\phi_S(\gamma)$ has a fixed point for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ but there is no global fixed point. This means that $\mathrm{rot}(\phi_S(\gamma)) = 0$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ but the Fuchsian action ϕ_S does not belong to the semi-conjugacy class of the trivial action.

Now we show, however, that we can characterize the semi-conjugacy classes of a Fuchsian action of a specific 2-orbifold and its certain lift by only rotation numbers of finite elements.

1.5 Main result

We focus on a special 2-orbifold. Let $\mathcal{O}_{2,3}$ be the 2-orbifold which is obtained from a 2-disk by making two cone-points of orders 2, 3. Note that the interior of $\mathcal{O}_{2,3}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^2 / \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})$ is isomorphic to the modular group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We fix a presentation

$$\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}) = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^2 = \beta^3 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3, .$$

where $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}$ be a Fuchsian action of $\mathcal{O}_{2,3}$ which is equal to the action by linear fractional transformations on

$\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\alpha)(0) &= \infty, & \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\alpha)(\infty) &= 0 \\ \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\beta)(0) &= \infty, & \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\beta)(\infty) &= -1, & \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\beta)(-1) &= 0 \text{ and} \\ \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\alpha\beta)(0) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(\text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\alpha\beta))) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right).$$

It follows from the presentation of $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})$ that there exists a k -fold lift $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}$ of $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}$ if and only if $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ and that such a lift is unique if it exists. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}& (\text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}(\alpha\beta))) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{k-1}{k}\right) & (k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{k}\right) & (k \equiv -1 \pmod{6}) \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to state the main result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\phi \in \text{R}(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$.

1. If $(\text{rot}(\phi(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi(\alpha\beta))) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$, then ϕ belongs to the semi-conjugacy class of a Fuchsian action $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}$.
2. If $(\text{rot}(\phi(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi(\alpha\beta))) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{5}\right)$, then ϕ belongs to the semi-conjugacy class of the 5-fold lift $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(5)}$ of a Fuchsian action $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}$.

Remark 1.2. 1. Theorem 1.1 cannot be generalized to the other lifts of $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}$. Indeed for each positive integer $k \geq 2$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}$ a compact, connected, oriented 2-orbifold which is obtained from a 2-sphere by making three cone-points of orders 2,3, k . Now suppose that $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ and $k \neq 5$. Then we have $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}) < 0$. Let $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}} \in \text{R}(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}))$ be a Fuchsian action of $\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}$. For a suitable presentation

$$\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid \alpha^2 = \beta^3 = \gamma^k = \alpha\beta\gamma = 1 \rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}& (\text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\gamma))) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{k}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha\beta))) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{k-1}{k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let q be the homomorphism from $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})$ onto $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k})$ such that $q(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $q(\beta) = \beta$ and let ι be the automorphism of $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})$ such that $\iota(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\iota(\beta) = \beta^{-1}$. We define a homomorphism $\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}} \in \text{R}(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ by

$$\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}} = \begin{cases} \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}} \circ q & (k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}) \\ \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}} \circ q \circ \iota & (k \equiv -1 \pmod{6}), \end{cases}$$

Since both $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}$ are minimal, it follows that both $\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}$ are also minimal. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{rot}(\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\beta)), \text{rot}(\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha\beta))) \\ &= (\text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}(\alpha)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}(\beta)), \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}(\alpha\beta))). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $k \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rot}(\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha\beta)) \\ &= \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha\beta^{-1})) \\ &= \text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\beta)(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha\beta))^{-1}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\beta))^{-1}) \\ &= -\text{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}(\alpha\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}$ do not belong to the same semi-conjugacy class. Indeed if they belonged the same conjugacy class, then they would be topologically conjugate by minimality. However this contradicts the fact that

$$\hat{\phi}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3,k}}((\alpha\beta)^k) = \text{id} \neq \phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}^{(k)}((\alpha\beta)^k).$$

2. We can prove Theorem 1.1 (1) by generalizing the notion of the bounded Euler number defined in [2] to actions of 2-orbifold groups. It will be indicated in a forthcoming paper together with generalizations of Theorem 1.1 to actions of other 2-orbifold groups.
3. Theorem 1.1 can be considered as a weak analogue of the following classical theorem about linear character [7], which we write in a specified form. Let $F\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ be a free group of rank two with a basis α, β .

Theorem 1.3. Let $\phi, \psi: F\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be homomorphisms. If we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{tr}(\phi(\alpha)), \text{tr}(\phi(\beta)), \text{tr}(\phi(\alpha\beta))) \\ &= (\text{tr}(\psi(\alpha)), \text{tr}(\psi(\beta)), \text{tr}(\psi(\alpha\beta))) \\ &= (x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

with $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz \neq 4$, then ϕ and ψ are conjugate by an element of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

4. When the author mentioned Theorem 1.1 in his talk given in the conference “Geometry and Foliations 2013”, E. Ghys informed us the following theorem about linear character.

Theorem 1.4. [10, Example 8.2] Let F_m be a free group of rank $m \geq 2$. For every positive integer n , there exist mutually non-conjugate elements $w_1, \dots, w_n$ of F_m such that for every homomorphism $\phi: F_m \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\phi(w_1)) = \dots = \mathrm{tr}(\phi(w_n)).$$

After that, he asked the following question.

Question 1.5. Does the following analogue of Theorem 1.4 hold for $\mathrm{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$? Namely, for every positive integer $m \geq 2$ and every positive integer n , does there exist mutually non-conjugate elements $w_1, \dots, w_n$ of F_m such that for every homomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{R}(F_m)$, we have

$$\mathrm{rot}(\phi(w_1)) = \dots = \mathrm{rot}(\phi(w_n)) \text{ ?}$$

Note that D. Calegari asked this question for the case where $m = 2, n = 2$ and w_2 is fixed as the identity element [4].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}(r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ = & \{ \phi \in \mathrm{R}(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})) \mid (\mathrm{rot}(\phi(\alpha)), \mathrm{rot}(\phi(\beta)), \mathrm{rot}(\phi(\alpha\beta))) = (r_1, r_2, r_3) \}. \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Proof of (1)

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{R}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$. The following sufficient condition for belonging to the same semi-conjugacy class given in [12] is a corollary of a criterion in [14].

Proposition 2.1. [12, Corollary 7.5] Let Γ be a group and $U \subset \mathrm{R}(\Gamma)$ be connected. Suppose that $\mathrm{rot}(\phi_1(\gamma)) = \mathrm{rot}(\phi_2(\gamma))$ for every $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in U$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then U is contained in a single semi-conjugacy class.

In view of Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show the following.

Lemma 2.2. $\mathrm{rot}(\phi(\gamma)) = \mathrm{rot}(\phi_{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}(\gamma))$ for every $\gamma \in \pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})$.

Lemma 2.3. The space $R\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$ is path-connected.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We denote by $\tilde{a}$ (resp. $\tilde{b}$) the lift of $\phi(\alpha)$ (resp. $\phi(\beta)$) with $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}) = \frac{1}{3}$). Since $0 < \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}) < 1$, we have

$$\tilde{x} < \tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) < \tilde{x} + 1$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}) < (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})(\tilde{x}) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{x}) + 1$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{3} = \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}) \leq \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) \leq \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}) + 1 = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Since $\text{rot}(\phi(\alpha\beta)) = 0$, we have $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) = 1$. Then there exists a point $\tilde{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{x}_0 + 1$. Since both $\tilde{a}^2$ and $\tilde{b}^3$ are the translation by one, we have

$$\tilde{x}_0 < \tilde{a}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{b}^2(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{x}_0 + 1.$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} I &= p([\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0)]) \text{ and} \\ J &= p([\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0), \tilde{x}_0 + 1]). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha)(J) &= I \text{ and} \\ \phi(\beta^{\pm 1})(I) &\subset J. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is not conjugate to a power of α, β , then there exists a closed interval $K \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $\phi(\gamma)(K) \subset K$. Indeed by taking conjugates if necessary, we may assume that $\gamma = \alpha\beta^{e_1} \cdots \alpha\beta^{e_n}$, where $e_i \in \pm 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then we have $\phi(\gamma)(I) \subset I$.

This implies that if γ is not conjugate to a power of α, β , then $\text{rot}(\phi(\gamma)) = 0$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in R\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$. We show that there exists a path in $R\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$ from ϕ_0 to ϕ_1 . For $t \in [0, 1]$, we denote by $\tilde{a}_t$ (resp. $\tilde{b}_t$) the lift of $\phi_t(\alpha)$ (resp. $\phi_t(\beta)$) with $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}_t) = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}_t) = \frac{1}{3}$). By taking conjugates, we may assume that both $\phi_0(b)$ and $\phi_1(b)$ are the rotation by $\frac{1}{3}$,

and that $(\tilde{a}_t \tilde{b}_t)(0) = 1$ for $t \in \{0, 1\}$. We take a path $\{\tilde{a}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ in $\widetilde{\text{Homeo}}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ from $\tilde{a}_0$ to $\tilde{a}_1$ such that $(\tilde{a}_t)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 1$ and $(\tilde{a}_t)^2$ is the translation by one. We denote by $a_t \in \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ the projection of $\tilde{a}_t$. Then the path $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ in $\text{R}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$ defined by the condition that $\phi_t(\alpha) = a_t$ and $\phi_t(\beta)$ is the rotation by $\frac{1}{3}$ is a desired one. $\square$

2.2 Proof of (2)

Let $\phi \in \text{R}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{5}\right)$. Then ϕ has no finite orbits. In fact if there were finite orbits, then the map $\text{rot} \circ \phi: \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ must be a homomorphism, which is impossible since $\text{rot}(\phi(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{rot}(\phi(\beta)) = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\text{rot}(\phi(\alpha\beta)) = \frac{1}{5}$. Therefore the action ϕ admits a unique minimal set, either a Cantor set or the whole circle. Passing to a semi-conjugate action, we may assume the latter, that is, the action is minimal.

By Theorem 1.1 (1), it suffices to show that ϕ is the 5-fold lift of some action, namely, there exists a homeomorphism $\theta \in \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ which is $\phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ -equivariant and periodic of period 5.

We denote by $\tilde{a}$ (resp. $\tilde{b}$) the lift of $\phi(\alpha)$ (resp. $\phi(\beta)$) with $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}) = \frac{2}{3}$). Since $0 < \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}) < 1$, we have

$$\tilde{x} < \tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) < \tilde{x} + 1$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}) < (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})(\tilde{x}) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{x}) + 1$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that

$$\frac{2}{3} = \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}) \leq \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) \leq \widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{b}) + 1 = \frac{5}{3}.$$

Since $\text{rot}(\phi(\alpha\beta)) = \frac{1}{5}$, we have $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) = \frac{6}{5}$. We denote by $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}$ the lift of $\phi(\alpha\beta)$ with $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) = \frac{1}{5}$. Then there exists a point $\tilde{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^5(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{x}_0 + 1$. Note that $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}) + 1$ for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.4. We have the following.

- (1) $\tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{x})$ for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) $(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^2 \tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) < \tilde{x} + 1$ for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) $(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2}(\tilde{x}_0) - 1 < \tilde{b}^2(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+4}(\tilde{x}_0) - 2 < (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+1}(\tilde{x}_0)$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (1) Since $\widetilde{\text{rot}}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) = \frac{6}{5} > 1$, we have

$$\tilde{a}^2(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} + 1 < \tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x})$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies the desired inequality.

(2) It follows from (1) that for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^2\tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^2\tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) - 2 < \tilde{a}\tilde{b}^3\tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) - 2 = \tilde{a}^2(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} + 1.$$

(3) By substituting $\tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2}(\tilde{x}_0)$ for $\tilde{x}$ in inequality (2), it follows that

$$(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^2\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2}(\tilde{x}_0) + 1.$$

Since we have

$$(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^2\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^2((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0)) = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^5((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0)) + 1 = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0) + 2,$$

we obtain the first inequality. Since $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an arbitrary integer, it follows that

$$(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+4}(\tilde{x}_0) - 1.$$

This implies the second inequality. Similarly we have

$$(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+4}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+6}(\tilde{x}_0) - 1 = \tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+1}(\tilde{x}_0).$$

This implies the third inequality. $\square$

The following lemma follows from Lemma 2.4 (3) and the equality $\tilde{a}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+4}(\tilde{x}_0) - 1$.

Lemma 2.5. For every integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_l &= ((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0), (\tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2})(\tilde{x}_0) - 1] \text{ and} \\ \tilde{J}_l &= ((\tilde{b}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+2})(\tilde{x}_0) - 1, (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{l+1}(\tilde{x}_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following.

1. $\tilde{b}^{-1}((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0)) \in \text{Int}(\tilde{J}_{l-4})$ and $(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^l(\tilde{x}_0)) \in \text{Int}(\tilde{J}_{l+5})$.
2. $\tilde{a}(\tilde{J}_l) = \tilde{I}_{l+3}$, $\tilde{b}(\tilde{I}_l) \subset \tilde{J}_{l+3}$ and $\tilde{b}^{-1}(\tilde{I}_l) \subset \tilde{J}_{l-4}$.

We denote by $\phi(\widetilde{\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})})$ the subgroup of $\widetilde{\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)}$ consisting of lifts of elements of $\phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ to $\mathbb{R}$. We define a map $\tilde{\theta}$ of $\phi(\widetilde{\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})})(\tilde{x}_0)$ onto itself by

$$\tilde{\theta}(\phi(\widetilde{\gamma})(\tilde{x}_0)) = \phi(\widetilde{\gamma})(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0)),$$

where $\gamma \in \pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3})$ and $\phi(\widetilde{\gamma})$ is a lift of $\phi(\gamma)$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.6. The map $\tilde{\theta}$ is well-defined and strictly increasing.

Proof. First we prove that $\tilde{\theta}$ is well-defined. It suffices to show that for $\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)} \in \phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ with $\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{x}_0$, we have $\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0)) = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0)$.

If $\gamma = \beta^{e_0}\alpha\beta^{e_1}\dots\alpha\beta^{e_n}$, where $e_0 \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have $e_i \neq -1$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Indeed if $(e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n) = (-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, then it would follow from Lemma 2.5 (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}^{e_i} \dots \tilde{a}\tilde{b}^{e_n}(\tilde{x}_0) &= \tilde{b}^{-1}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{n-i}(\tilde{x}_0) \\ &= \tilde{b}^{-1}((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{n-i}(\tilde{x}_0)) + (n-i) \in \text{Int}(\tilde{J}_{6(n-i)-4}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0) \in \text{Int}(\tilde{I}_l) \cup \text{Int}(\tilde{J}_l)$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 2.5 (2), which contradicts the assumption.

Therefore we have $\gamma = \beta^{e_0}(\alpha\beta)^n$, where $e_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ and it follows from Lemma 2.4 (3) we have $e_0 \neq 1$. Hence there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^n(\tilde{x}) + m$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $n = -5m$ by the assumption and hence

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0)) = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-5m+1}(\tilde{x}_0) + m = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0).$$

If $\gamma = \beta^{e_0}\alpha\beta^{e_1}\dots\alpha\beta^{e_n}\alpha$, where $e_0 \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have $e_i \neq 1$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Indeed if $(e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n) = (1, -1, \dots, -1)$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, then it would follow from Lemma 2.5 (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}^{e_i} \dots \tilde{a}\tilde{b}^{e_n}(\tilde{x}_0) &= (\tilde{b}\tilde{a})(\tilde{b}^{-1}\tilde{a})^{n-i}(\tilde{x}_0) \\ &= (\tilde{b}\tilde{a})((\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-(n-i)}(\tilde{x}_0)) \in \text{Int}(\tilde{J}_{-(n-i)+5}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0) \in \text{Int}(\tilde{I}_l) \cup \text{Int}(\tilde{J}_l)$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 2.5 (2), which contradicts the assumption.

Therefore we have $\gamma = \beta^{e_0}\alpha(\beta\alpha)^{n-1}$, where $e_0 \in \{0, -1\}$ and it follows from Lemma 2.4 (3) that we have $e_0 \neq 0$. Hence there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-(n+1)}(\tilde{x}) + m$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $n = 5m - 1$ by the assumption and hence

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0)) = (\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-5m+1}(\tilde{x}_0) + m = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_0).$$

Next we prove that $\tilde{\theta}$ is strictly increasing. It suffices to show that for $\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)} \in \phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ with $\tilde{x}_0 < \widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0)$, we have $\tilde{\theta}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{\theta}(\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0))$.

If $\gamma = \beta^{e_0} \alpha \beta^{e_1} \cdots \alpha \beta^{e_n}$, where $e_0 \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 (2) that

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{I}_0) \subset \tilde{I}_l \cup \tilde{J}_l$$

for some non-negative integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{I}_1) \subset \tilde{I}_{l+1} \cup \tilde{J}_{l+1}$$

and hence $\tilde{\theta}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{\theta}(\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0))$.

If $\gamma = \beta^{e_0} \alpha \beta^{e_1} \cdots \alpha \beta^{e_n} \alpha$, where $e_0 \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 (2) that

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{J}_{-1}) \subset \tilde{I}_l \cup \tilde{J}_l$$

for some non-negative integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that

$$\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{J}_0) \subset \tilde{I}_{l+1} \cup \tilde{J}_{l+1}$$

and hence $\tilde{\theta}(\tilde{x}_0) < \tilde{\theta}(\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0))$. □

The map $\tilde{\theta}$ is $\phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ -equivariant and we have $\tilde{\theta}^5(\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0)) = \widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}(\tilde{x}_0) + 1$ for every element $\widetilde{\phi(\gamma)}$ of $\phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$. Since ϕ is minimal, $\phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))(\tilde{x}_0)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ and hence $\tilde{\theta}$ can be extended to an element of $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$, which we also denote by $\tilde{\theta}$. The homeomorphism $\tilde{\theta}$ is $\phi(\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_{2,3}))$ -equivariant and we have $\tilde{\theta}^5(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} + 1$ for every $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. This gives the desired homeomorphism $\theta \in \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments.

References

- [1] M. Bucher, An introduction to bounded cohomology, preprint, available at <http://www.unige.ch/math/folks/bucher/pdf/KTHnotesIV.pdf>.
- [2] M. Burger, A. Iozzi and A. Wienhard, Higher Teichmüller spaces: From $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ to other Lie groups, in Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Volume IV, 539–618. IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. **19**, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2014.
- [3] D. Calegari, *Foliations and the geometry of 3-manifolds*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.

- [4] D. Calegari, Ziggurats and rotation numbers, Talk at *Low-dimensional Geometry and Topology*, Tokyo, September 2012, Slide is available at <http://www.is.titech.ac.jp/hyperbolic/index.html>.
- [5] D. Calegari and A. Walker, Ziggurats and rotation numbers, *J. Mod. Dyn.* **5** (2011), no. 4, 711–746.
- [6] S. Choi, *Geometric structures on 2-orbifolds: exploration of discrete symmetry*, MSJ Memoirs **27**, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2012.
- [7] R. Fricke and F. Klein, *Vorlesungen der Automorphen Funktionen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1897.
- [8] E. Ghys, Groupes d'homéomorphismes du cercle et cohomologie bornée, in *The Lefschetz centennial conference*, Part III (Mexico City, 1984), 81–106. Contemp. Math. **58**, III, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [9] E. Ghys, Groups acting on the circle, *L'Enseignement Mathématique* **47**, (2001), 329–407.
- [10] R. Horowitz, Character of free groups represented in the two dimensional special linear group, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **25** (1972), 635–649.
- [11] T. Jørgensen, A note on subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. II* **28**, (1977), 209–212.
- [12] K. Mann, Space of surface group representations, *Invent. Math.*, published online: 22 November 2014.
- [13] Y. Matsuda, Groups of real analytic diffeomorphisms of the circle with a finite image under the rotation number function, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **59** (2009), no. 5, 1819–1845.
- [14] S. Matsumoto, Numerical invariants for semi-conjugacy of homeomorphisms of the circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1986), no. 1, 163–168.